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**Up until now**
- Technologies concerning “things” are sources of competitiveness.
- Ensuring international competitiveness by establishing one’s proprietary technology while competing with many competitors in the same industry.
- Promoting so-called “Open & Closed Strategy” which combines the utilization of “standards” to expand markets and the exclusive protection of inventions as “intellectual property.”

**Present day**
- Progress of technological innovations epitomized by IoT, AI, and big data.
- “Data”, along with “data-analysis techniques” and “business models” using such data, has become sources of new competitiveness.
- “Connected Industries”: industrial communities in which new added value is created through the implementation of IoT where all devices and items are connected via the Internet.
- Necessity making profits and expanding businesses through open innovation.

**From now**
- Expanding and deepening targets of Open & Closed Strategy are necessary.
- Three-dimensional comprehensive strategy including “data” in addition to “intellectual property” and “standards” are required.
1.(2) The Fourth Industrial Revolution and Intellectual Property System.

Three-dimensional comprehensive strategy consisted of IP, data and standards is to be considered.

**Intellectual Property**

Protection of technologies and services by granting exclusive rights

- **Business Model**
- **New Technology**
- **Various solutions to disputes**
- **Standard essential patents**
- **Data Structures**
- **Utilization of Data**

**Standard**

Development of human resources for standardization

Agreements on shapes, sizes, protocols etc.

**Data**

Formalized and coded information for easy processing. (Big Data, Personal Data)

---

*Standard essential patents*: Patents needed to comply with technical standards.
2. Utilization of data

- Legal infrastructure for making use of data has been improving.
- On the other hand, there are insufficient measures to prevent unfair use of data.
- As legal framework for authorization to use and access data is uncertain, it is necessary to solely rely on contracts.

### Protection of data under the Unfair Competition Prevention Act

- Studying the possibility of amending the Unfair Competition Prevention Act (possible amendments)
  - Prohibiting the wrongful acquisition of data
  - Enhancing protection of data-encryption technology
  - Reducing burden of civil actions involving data-analysis techniques protected as trade secrets (cabinet order)
- Improving Guidelines on Trade Secret Management, and related material.

### Contracts dealing with authorization of use

- Conducting a study to establish guidelines to deal with the authorization of data utilization
  
  (Issues to be considered)
  - Ways to ensure appropriate protection of data and rules of contracts based on the actual state of data utilization and contracts on data between companies.
3.(1) The Industrial Property Rights System

- Future innovation will probably create original data structures.
- In line with the popularization of the IoT, there is an increasing number of patent applications for business-related invention, which offer added values by smartly connecting services and products.
- It is difficult to determine what requirements have to be met, in order to acquire patent rights for such original data structures and business-related inventions.
- New issues are arising due to advances in technological developments in AI, 3D printing, networking, etc.

### Clarity on the Proper Handling of Data Structures
- Published case examples for examination of data structures which have patent eligibility (March 2017)
- Continuing to make efforts to further enhance predictability

### Intellectual Property for Supporting Business Model Based on the Use of IoT
- Improving the environment in which patents can be steadily obtained and utilized (in FY2017) (Specific Examples)
  - Checking the Examination Guidelines on software-related inventions
  - Collecting the use cases of patented business-related inventions
  - Utilizing newly created patent classification for IoT-related inventions
  - Establishing cross-sectoral examination group to respond to IoT technology

### Initiatives on New Technologies
- Clarifying patent rights protections against cross-border infringements.
- Handling inventions made by AI in the future in terms of industrial property rights.
- Handling data used for 3D printing in terms of industrial property rights.
Costs of managing intellectual property may increase due to the growth of inter-company collaboration in the era of IoT.

Abuse of rights by patent trolls* has already been recognized as a systemic problem in the U.S.

Frequent and prolonged disputes on the patents necessary for implementing standards concerning public infrastructure may have harmful impact on economy and industries.

Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) and startups especially, might encounter difficulties in negotiating and dealing with lawsuits.

Consideration of introducing mediation system that enables conflicts to be settled earlier.

Consideration of introducing mediation system that enables conflicts to be settled earlier.

- Considering setting up an ADR system (mediation), which is especially user-friendly for SMEs, in order to settle disputes over license agreements and patent right infringements.
- Paying enough attention to the demarcation with existing Private ADRs such as the Japan Intellectual Property Arbitration Center, when designing the ADR system.

*Patent troll: A person or company who abuses patent rights to obtain excessive license fees or huge settlements.

**ADR (Alternative Dispute Resolution): Means such as mediation to resolve conflicts without resort to litigation.
Different industries have to cooperate with each other beyond the scope of their businesses, in order to quickly standardize.

In Japan there is a lack of cooperative frameworks between industry and the public sector and a lack of skilled and experienced human resources for international standardization.

### Framework for promoting standardization

- Enhancing cooperative framework between industry and public sector.

  (Examples)
  - Using “The New Market Creation Standardization System”*
  - Cooperating with National Research Institute.

### Developing human resources for standardization

- Implementing “The Three Action Plans for the Development of human resources for standardization”**

  (Examples)
  - Increasing number of companies with CSOs (Chief Standardization Officers)
  - Gathering information on strategies for making rules.

- Clarifying the role of patent attorneys as IP experts for standardization.

* Framework for standardization without requiring consensus of industry organizations within the country.

** Formulated this plan in January 2017 in the Working Group for standardization of human resources under the “Standardization Summit” in Japan.
5. Perspectives from Individual Industrial Fields, Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs), and Startups

<Report IV, V.>

### Initiatives in the individual industrial fields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Mobility</th>
<th>Health care•Medical care•Nursing care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ● Constructing the intellectual property portfolio, considering various business models that fully utilize new technologies such as edge-computing.* | ● Rulemaking to protect against unfair use of vehicle data, etc. by third parties.  
● Creating intellectual property strategies according to trends in patents by IT industry which has different business practices. | ● Rulemaking to protect medical technological data utilized between business operators.  
● Promoting international standardization regarding data format for obtaining, saving, and storing information such as clinical data. |

### Appropriate measures to support SMEs and startups

● Supporting the obtainment of patent rights in both Japan and overseas and expansion of business activities overseas based on “Action Plan for Regional Intellectual Property Revitalization”(formulated in September 2016)  
● Supporting market expansions by using “The New Market Creation Standardization”  
● Promoting cooperation between and among major companies, SMEs, and startups et al.

*Edge computing: A technology of information processing which efficiently processes a large amount of data without being affected by disturbances in the communication environment; this is done by delegating high-level information-processing roles to user devices and by processing data in a decentralized way in each of the user devices.
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(The Japanese syllabary order; honorifics omitted)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>The 1st Meeting</strong></th>
<th>Oct. 17 (Mon.), 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Launch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>The 2nd Meeting</strong></th>
<th>Nov. 10 (Thurs.), 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Ways to deal with each agenda item</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>The 3rd Meeting</strong></th>
<th>Nov. 28 (Mon.), 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Study on protection of data and database</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>The 4th Meeting</strong></th>
<th>Dec. 15 (Thurs.), 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|  • Current state of automotive fields  
  • Current state of robotics fields |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>The 5th Meeting</strong></th>
<th>Dec. 26 (Mon.), 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|  • Current state of healthcare and nursing-care equipment fields  
  • Current state of biotechnology fields  
  • Major points and further direction |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>The 6th Meeting</strong></th>
<th>Feb. 6 (Mon.), 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|  • Framework for simple and prompt settlement of patent disputes  
  • Support for intellectual property in local communities and SMEs |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>The 7th Meeting</strong></th>
<th>Feb. 17 (Fri.), 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|  • Current state of information and communication equipment fields  
  • International standardization |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>The 8th Meeting</strong></th>
<th>Mar. 6 (Mon.), 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|  • Dealing with creations made by AI  
  • Measures to respond to cross-border infringements  
  • Current state of measures by Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>The 9th Meeting</strong></th>
<th>Mar. 24 (Fri.), 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|  • Functional enhancement for handling disputes (Cooperation with Patent System Subcommittee)  
  • A review of draft report |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>The 10th meeting</strong></th>
<th>Apr. 5 (Wed.), 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|  • Current state of Subcommittee on Protection and Utilization of Trade Secrets  
  • Compilation of report |