

Chapter 11

BRAZIL

NATIONAL TREATMENT

Raising Tax Rate on Industrialized Products, i.e. Automobiles (IPI)

<Outline of the measure>

In September 2011, the Brazilian government announced that 30% would be added to the existing IPI (Imposto sobre Produtos Industrializados) tax on domestic and imported automobiles, in order to protect the domestic industry. This system went into force in December 2011.

Automobiles that are manufactured in Brazil, Mercosur and Mexico, and those that meet certain requirements, can be exempt from this additional tax. In order to qualify for the exemption, those manufacturers must meet the following requirements and become certified enterprises:

- 1) to purchase 65% or more of supplies sourced from within Mercosur
- 2) to conduct more than 6 out of 11 production processes, such as assembly and press, in Brazil
- 3) to invest 0.5% of gross sales (gross income after tax deduction of the entire company) into research and development (R&D)

Implementation of these regulations including exemption regulations is a serious concern for manufactures that do not own manufacturing facilities in Brazil as it leads to difficulty in competing in Brazil's domestic market. This system was set as a tentative measure, to expire in December 2012, and was abolished, with a new automobile policy put into effect as described below.

In October 2012, the Brazilian government announced the increase of IPI on automobiles by 30% for five years from 2013 to 2017 and, a new Inovar-Auto Policy for automobile manufacturers, reducing IPI 30% or more under certain conditions. The Inovar-Auto Policy requirements are: (1) Achieving the prescribed fuel efficiency standards by 2017 (fuel efficiency of new cars in 2017 would be reduced by 12% compared to that in 2012), and participating in the vehicle labeling program; (2) Investing a certain amount in domestic research and development, innovation, or engineering etc.; and (3) Carrying out certain manufacturing processes such as assembly and pressing in Brazil (replacing "more than 6 out of 11 production processes" in (2) above with "8 out of 12 production processes by 2013 and 10 by 2017"). Manufacturers that meet these conditions are granted IPI credits that can be used for IPI reduction (However, details of conditions and tax incentives differ depending on the status of corporate activities ((1) domestic manufacturer, (2) import and sales corporate, (3) corporate with investment plans)).

<Problems under international rules>

Under this measure, manufacturers are required to use domestic parts in order to benefit from the tax exemption. It treats imported parts unfavourably. Moreover, even if manufactures are certified, the tax would be levied on imported automobiles that are not manufactured in Mercosur or Mexico by certified manufacturers. Therefore, it causes discriminatory treatment not only between domestically manufactured vehicles and imported vehicles manufactured outside Mercosur or Mexico, but also between imported vehicles manufactured outside Mercosur or Mexico and those manufactured within Mercosur and Mexico.

This may be a violation of GATT Article I (general most-favoured nation treatment) Article III (national treatment on internal taxation and regulations), TRIMs Article 2 (national treatment and quantitative restrictions) and WTO Agreement on Subsidies Article 3.1 (b).

<Recent Developments>

The Minister of Economy, Trade and Industry pointed out to the Brazilian Minister of Development, Commerce and Industry the possible infringement of WTO rules in May and November 2012, respectively. METI's Vice-Minister for International Affairs expressed concerns and requested cooperation including provision of information at the Japan-Brazil Joint Committee on Promoting Trade and Investment in November 2012. Also, at the meetings of the WTO Council for Trade in Goods held since November 2012, Japan has repeatedly expressed concerns together with the United States, EU, and Australia. However, there has been no action to improve this policy. Furthermore, there has been an effort to expand preferential taxation measures that are linked to local content requirements to a wide range of sectors, including telecommunications network devices and chemicals (fertilizers). The EU therefore requested WTO consultations with Brazil in January 2014.

Japan will continue to pay attention to Brazil's response to this measure, including the course of consultations between the EU and Brazil.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Regulations on Patent, know-how and licenses

<Outline of the measure>

After receiving contract examinations by the National Institute of Industrial Property (hereafter referred to as "INPI"=Instituto Nacional da Propriedade Industrial), which is equivalent to the Patent Office of Japan, registering at INPI is required in order to obtain royalty-bearing technical licenses. Royalty periods based on the contracts to provide patents/know-how in general are accepted for up to five years at the discretion of INPI. With licensees' agreement, royalty periods may be extended, but for no longer than 10 years.

<Problems under international rules>

A number of companies who need to register in order to transfer funds overseas as payments of royalty are foreign companies. A lack of regulation allows for arbitrary royalties or terms of confidentiality agreements. Due to the existence of such a registration system mainly targeting foreign companies, and to INPI ordering the maximum amount of royalty and the terms of confidentiality agreements, unfair restrictions may be imposed on foreign entities and they may be treated unfavourably compared to Brazilian nationals. The unreasonable nature of this treatment and the disadvantage of the current operations require thorough evaluation as this may be inconsistent with TRIPS Agreement Article III (1) national treatment if the regulation is unreasonable or excessively burdensome.

<Recent Developments>

In February 2009, since the 1st Japan-Brazil Joint Committee on the Promotion of Trade and Investment, until the 6th Committee of November 2012, Japan has been continually requested improvements regarding royalty remittance and duration of confidentiality obligations. During this period, it was confirmed that INPI is authorized to register a Technology Transfer Contract that contains a provision that obliges the transferee in Brazil to keep confidential for a period longer than ten years, etc. Subsequently, it was decided to hold meetings under the name of the Japan-Brazil Joint Committee on Promoting Trade, Investment and Industrial Cooperation, and to include the topic of industrial cooperation. At the 1st Committee meeting held in October 2013, it was decided to eliminate the period of overseas technology transfer contracts; cover licenses for know-how on operation techniques, etc.; for the transfer pricing taxation system, clarify the criteria for calculating the tariff rates on each product, etc.; and establish places for specialized discussions regarding the respective issues.

Japan will continue its effort to improve the situation, emphasizing the need to relax the regulations to promote technology transfer and the rationality of the rules.