コーポレート・ガバナンスの実践〜企業価値向上に向けたインセンティブと改革〜 正誤表

	(誤)	(正)
別紙 1 59ページ目 320. 下から 2 行目	指標のついては	指標については
別紙 1 60ページ目 321. 下から 2 行目	経営に責任をも持つ者として	経営に責任を持つ者として
参考資料 66ページ目 上から2行目	【Johnson & Johnson (米)】	48.【Johnson & Johnson (米)】 ※以降、番号は1ずつ繰り下げ
参考資料 87ページ目 91.【Microsoft (米)】の 開示資料抜粋	23. Board Compensation Review. The Board believes that the level of director compensation should be based on time spent carrying out Board and committee responsibilities and be competitive with comparable companies. In addition, the Board believes that a significant portion of director compensation should align director interests with the long-term interests of shareholders. Company management should periodically report to the Board how the Company's director compensation practices compare with those of other large public corporations. The Board should make changes in its director compensation practices only upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee.	22. Board and Committee Self-Evaluation. The Governance and Nominating Committee conducts an annual evaluation of the performance of the Board and each of its members. In addition, each committee is responsible for conducting an annual performance evaluation. Evaluation results are reported to the Board. The Governance and Nominating Committee's report includes an assessment of the Board's compliance with the principles set forth in these guidelines, as well as identification of areas in which the Board could improve its performance. Each committee's report generally includes an assessment of the committee's compliance with the principles set forth in these guidelines and the committee's charter, as well as identification of areas in which the committee could improve its performance.
別紙 3 11 ページ目 下から 6 行目	不可避的な生じるリスク	不可避的なリスク